

Planning Team Report

Amend Albury LEP 2010 - Amend Clause 4.1A to reduce the lots size for certain types of residential development

Proposal Title :	Amend Albury LEP 2010 - Ame residential development	end Clause 4.1A to reduce t	he lots size for certain types of	
Proposal Summary :	Albury City Council seeks to a for certain residential develop		ons to minimum subdivision lot sizes	
	The proposed amendment to o 1. include the B4 Mixed Use Zo Medium Density Zone;		neral Residential Zone and R3	
	2. insert a new provision to allow Council to approve a development application for both the erection of a dual occupancy and the subdivision of the land into two lots whereby each lot has a minimum lot size of 300 m2.			
			n that seeks to both subdivide land etached dwelling or dwelling house;	
	4. ensure the area of the acces included in calculating the lot		ss handle of a battle axe lot, is not	
PP Number :	PP_2015_ALBUR_004_00	Dop File No :	15/16419	
roposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	03-Nov-2015	LGA covered :	Albury City	
	Southern	RPA :	Albury City Council	
Region :	Southern			
Region : State Electorate :	ALBURY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
5		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
State Electorate :	ALBURY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
State Electorate :	ALBURY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
State Electorate : LEP Type : Location Details	ALBURY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal Postcode :	

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Graham Judge
Contact Number :	0262297906
Contact Email :	graham.judge@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

6.

Contact Name :	Matt Johnson
Contact Number :	2060123081
Contact Email :	mjohnson@alburycity.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :	Deanne Frankel
Contact Number :	0242249468
Contact Email :	deanne.frankel@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

External Supporting Notes :			of the land into two lots
Internal Supporting Notes :			
Supporting notes			
If Yes, comment :			
meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?			
Have there been	No		
If No, comment :			
Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :			
The NSW Government	Yes		
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes Comment · The PP clearly outlines the proposed changes to clause 4.1A. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes Comment : The PP includes the draft amendment to clause 4.1A. No changes to the LEP maps are required. Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones** 3.1 Residential Zones * May need the Director General's agreement 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements Is the Director General's agreement required? No c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? Murray REP No. 2 - Riverine Land SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 No other matters need be considered e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : The planning proposal does not generate any inconsistencies with s117 Directions. s117 Direction 4.4 Planning For Bushfire Protection requires Council to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service. 4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will affect or is in close proximity to land mapped as bushfire prone land. The proposal will affect some land identified as bush fire prone land and therefore triggers the requirement to consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service under the 117 Direction. Council has concluded that consultation with the NSWRFS is unnecessary because of the low impact of the proposal, i.e. impacts confined to existing residential development. Unfortunately when this Direction is triggered by a PP the relevant planning authority (RPA) must consult with the NSWRFS. A condition is usually applied to the Gateway determination to ensure the RPA consults with the NSWRFS in accordance with the Direction and the NSWRFS be given 21 days to provide a response. The additional requirement to provide the NSWRFS 21 days to respond is considered to be unreasonable given that the PP is considered to be of minor significance and of low impact. To accommodate Council's request the time frame for consultation with the NSWRFS should be minimal and consultation must occur prior to community consultation.

-

10

	To avoid an inconsistency with the s117 Direction 4.4 it is recommended that the RPA be required to consult with the NSWRFS. To streamline the consultation process it is further recommended that there be no added requirement for the RPA to give the NSWRFS 21 days to reply prior to beginning community consultation.			
	RECOMMENDATION: A condition should be included in the Gateway determination that simply states that consultation should be undertaken with the Rural Fire Service in accordance with the Direction.			
Mapping Provided - s	s55(2)(d)			
Is mapping provided? No	D C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			
Comment :	No mapping has been provided because the Planning proposal does not require an amendment to the LEP maps.			
Community consulta	munity consultation - s55(2)(e)			
Has community consulta	tion been proposed? Yes			
Comment :	The PP has recommended community consultation be undertaken for 14 days because it is considered to a 'low impact proposal'. This request is supported.			
	RECOMMENDATION; Community consultation be undertaken for a minimum of 14 days.			
Additional Director G	Seneral's requirements			
Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No				
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No			
Are there any additional If Yes, reasons :	Director General's requirements? No There are no additional requirements by Secretary.			
If Yes, reasons :	There are no additional requirements by Secretary.			
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of	There are no additional requirements by Secretary. the proposal			
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of	There are no additional requirements by Secretary.			
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment :	There are no additional requirements by Secretary. the proposal the adequacy criteria? Yes The PP is considered to be low impact and meets the adequacy criteria to proceed to			
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : oposal Assessment	There are no additional requirements by Secretary. the proposal the adequacy criteria? Yes The PP is considered to be low impact and meets the adequacy criteria to proceed to			
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : oposal Assessment	There are no additional requirements by Secretary. the proposal the adequacy criteria? Yes The PP is considered to be low impact and meets the adequacy criteria to proceed to			
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : oposal Assessment Principal LEP:	There are no additional requirements by Secretary. the proposal the adequacy criteria? Yes The PP is considered to be low impact and meets the adequacy criteria to proceed to			
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation	There are no additional requirements by Secretary. the proposal the adequacy criteria? Yes The PP is considered to be low impact and meets the adequacy criteria to proceed to assessment. Albury SI LEP 2010 was notified in 2010.			
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	There are no additional requirements by Secretary. the proposal the adequacy criteria? Yes The PP is considered to be low impact and meets the adequacy criteria to proceed to assessment. Albury SI LEP 2010 was notified in 2010.			
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning	There are no additional requirements by Secretary. the proposal the adequacy criteria? Yes The PP is considered to be low impact and meets the adequacy criteria to proceed to assessment. Albury SI LEP 2010 was notified in 2010. Albury LEP 2010 currently applies a The current clause 4.1A relates only to integrated development, i.e. housing and			

		to a road for an development applicatio lots and erect an attached dwelling, ser d	
	4. ensure the area o not included in calc	of any access handle of a lot, e.g. acces culating the lot size.	s handle of a battle axe lot, is
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The PP is not incon regional strategy.	sistent with Council's land use planning	g strategy or any state or
Environmental social economic impacts :	There are unlikely to	o be any adverse social or environment	al impacts.
ssessment Proces	\$S		
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	NSW Rural Fire Ser	vice	
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	Νο	
		Yes	
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?		
		osal is of minor significance and does n impacts.	ot raise any significant
	The planning propo planning issues or i		
(2)(a) Should the matte If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(The planning propo planning issues or i RECOMMENDATIO	impacts.	
If no, provide reasons :	The planning propo planning issues or i RECOMMENDATIO	impacts.	
If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(The planning propo planning issues or i RECOMMENDATIO	impacts.	
If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional s	The planning propo planning issues or i RECOMMENDATION (b) : No studies, if required, :	impacts.	
If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional s If Other, provide reasor	The planning propo planning issues or i RECOMMENDATION (b) : No studies, if required, :	impacts.	
If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(If Yes, reasons :	The planning propo planning issues or i RECOMMENDATION (b) : No studies, if required, : ns : udies required	impacts. N: The PP be issued with a Gateway De	
If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional s If Other, provide reasor No other additional str Identify any internal cor	The planning propo planning issues or i RECOMMENDATION (b) : No studies, if required: ns : udies required nsultations, if required :	impacts. N: The PP be issued with a Gateway De	
If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional s If Other, provide reasor No other additional stu Identify any internal cor No internal consultatio	The planning propo planning issues or i RECOMMENDATION (b) : No studies, if required, : ns : udies required nsultations, if required : on required	impacts. N: The PP be issued with a Gateway De	

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning Proposal - Amended Clause 4.1A.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Council Minutes - Monday 26 October 2015.pdf	Proposal	Yes
PDC Minutes - Monday 19 October 2015.pdf	Proposal	Yes
PDC Report - Monday 19 October 2015.pdf	Proposal	Yes

5

÷ki

Pla	Planning Team Recommendation		
	Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions		
	S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones	
		3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection	
		6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements	
	Additional Information :	General Conditions	
		The Acting General Manager, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Albury Local Environmental Plan 2010 clause 4.1A 'Exceptions to minimum subdivision lot sizes for certain residential developments' should proceed subject to the following conditions:	
		1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:	
		(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing local environmental plans (Department of Planning and Infrastructure).	
		2. Consultation is required with the NSW Rural Fire Service under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act and in accordance with s117 Direction Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection. The NSW Rural Fire Service is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material.	
		3. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).	
		4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.	
		5. Council be Authorised to use its delegation for plan making to complete the LEP following public consultation.	
		s117 Directions	
		The Secretary can be satisfied that the planning proposal, subject to consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service, is consistent with relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are of minor significance.	
	Supporting Reasons :	The PP is considered to be 'Low Impact' and therefore community consultation is required for only 14 days.	
		S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection requires consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service.	

Amend Albury LEP 2010 - Amend Clause 4.1A to reduce the lots size for certain types of residential development			
Signature:			
Printed Name:	Deanne Frankel Date: 17/11/15		